

Euphorbia PV, Hillardia PV & Verbena PV – Terrestrial & Freshwater Ecology Scoping Report

Lichtenburg, North West Province

February 2022

CLIENT

Euphorbia PV (Pty) Ltd Hillardia PV (Pty) Ltd Verbena PV (Pty) Ltd

Prepared by: The Biodiversity Company Cell: +27 81 319 1225 Fax: +27 86 527 1965 info@thebiodiversitycompany.com www.thebiodiversitycompany.com



A REAL AND A

Freshwater and Terrestrial Scoping Report



Report Name	Euphorbia PV, Hillardia PV & Verbena PV –Terrestrial & Freshwater Ecology Scoping Report		
Reference	Houthaalboomen North PV Cluster		
Submitted to	Euphorbia PV (Pty) Ltd Hillardia PV (Pty) Ltd Verbena PV (Pty) Ltd		
Report Writer	Khethokuhle Hlatshwayo		
(Freshwater)	Khethokuhle Hlatshwayo is Cand. Sci. Nat. registered (124579) in the fields of Aquatic Science. Khethokuhle has 3 years' experience in freshwater ecology and has operated in various sectors, including mining, civil engineering, and research. Khethokuhle is SASS5 accredited.		
	Lindi Steyn		
Report Writer	Dr Lindi Steyn has completed her PhD in Biodiversity and Conservation from the University of Johannesburg. Lindi is a terrestrial ecologist with a special interest in ornithology. She has completed numerous studies ranging from Basic Assessments to Environmental Impact Assessments following IFC standards.		
	Andrew Husted		
Reviewer	Andrew Husted is Pr Sci Nat registered (400213/11) in the following fields of practice: Ecological Science, Environmental Science and Aquatic Science. Andrew is an Aquatic, Wetland and Biodiversity Specialist with more than 12 years' experience in the environmental consulting field. Andrew has completed numerous wetland training courses, and is an accredited wetland practitioner, recognised by the DWS, and also the Mondi Wetlands programme as a competent wetland consultant.		
Declaration	The Biodiversity Company and its associates operate as independent consultants under the auspice of the South African Council for Natural Scientific Professions. We declare that we have no affiliation with or vested financial interests in the proponent, other than for work performed under the Environmental Impact Assessment Regulations, 2014 as amended. We have no conflicting interests in the undertaking of this activity and have no interests in secondary developments resulting from the authorisation of this project. We have no vested interest in the project, other than to provide a professional service within the constraints of the project (timing, time and budget) based on the principals of science.		





Table of Contents

1	Introduction	6
1.1	Background	6
1.2	Scope of Work	7
1.3	Key Legislative Requirements	8
2	Methods	9
2.1	Ecologically Important Landscape Features	9
2.2	Assumptions and Limitations	11
3	Desktop Analysis	11
3.1	Ecologically Important Landscape Features	11
3.1.1	Renewable Energy Database	
3.1.2	The National Biodiversity Assessment	
3.1.3	The National List of Threatened Terrestrial Ecosystems	
3.1.4	Protected Areas	2
3.1.5	Biodiversity Spatial Plan	3
3.1.6	South African Inventory of Inland Aquatic Ecosystems	5
3.1.7	Strategic Water Source Areas	7
3.1.8	National Freshwater Ecosystem Priority Area Status	7
3.2	Flora Assessment	8
3.2.1	Vegetation Type	-
3.2.2		8
	Expected Flora Species	
3.3	Expected Flora Species Faunal Assessment	
3.3 3.3.1	Expected Flora Species Faunal Assessment Amphibians	
3.3 3.3.1 3.3.2	Expected Flora Species Faunal Assessment Amphibians Reptiles	
3.33.3.13.3.23.3.3	Expected Flora Species Faunal Assessment Amphibians Reptiles Mammals	
 3.3 3.3.1 3.3.2 3.3.3 3.4 	Expected Flora Species Faunal Assessment Amphibians Reptiles Mammals Freshwater Assessment	
 3.3 3.3.1 3.3.2 3.3.3 3.4 3.4.1 	Expected Flora Species Faunal Assessment Amphibians Reptiles Mammals Freshwater Assessment Hydrological Setting	
 3.3 3.3.1 3.3.2 3.3.3 3.4 3.4.1 3.4.2 	Expected Flora Species Faunal Assessment Amphibians Reptiles Mammals Freshwater Assessment Hydrological Setting Present Ecological Status	
 3.3 3.3.1 3.3.2 3.3.3 3.4 3.4.1 3.4.2 3.5 	Expected Flora Species Faunal Assessment Amphibians Reptiles Mammals Freshwater Assessment Hydrological Setting Present Ecological Status National Environmental Screening Tool	
 3.3 3.3.1 3.3.2 3.3.3 3.4 3.4.1 3.4.2 3.5 4 	Expected Flora Species Faunal Assessment Amphibians Reptiles Mammals Freshwater Assessment Hydrological Setting Present Ecological Status National Environmental Screening Tool Specialist Management Plan	



5.1	Terrestrial Assessment	22
5.2	Freshwater Assessment	22
6	References	23
7	Appendix A: Terrestrial methods to be utilised	25
7.1	Desktop Flora Assessment	25
7.2	Desktop Faunal Assessment	25
7.3	Flora Survey	25
7.4	Fauna Survey	26
7.5	Terrestrial Site Ecological Importance	26
8	Appendix B: Specialist Declaration of Independence	30





List of Tables

Table 1-1	A list of key legislative requirements relevant to biodiversity and conservation in the North West
Table 3-1	Summary of the relevance of the proposed development to ecologically important landscape features
Table 3-2	Criteria used to identify threatened terrestrial ecosystems1
Table 3-3	Threatened flora species that may occur within the project area 10
Table 3-4	Threatened amphibian species that are expected to occur within the project area
Table 3-5	Threatened mammal species that are expected to occur within the project area
Table 3-6	Summary of the Present Ecological State of the D41A-01160 SQR 12
Table 4-1	Mitigation measures including requirements for timeframes, roles and responsibilities for the terrestrial study
Table 7-1	Summary of Conservation Importance (CI) criteria
Table 7-2	Summary of Functional Integrity (FI) criteria27
Table 7-3	Matrix used to derive Biodiversity Importance (BI) from Functional Integrity (FI) and Conservation Importance (CI)
Table 7-4	Summary of Resource Resilience (RR) criteria
Table 7-5	Matrix used to derive Site Ecological Importance from Receptor Resilience (RR) and Biodiversity Importance (BI)
Table 7-6	Guidelines for interpreting Site Ecological Importance in the context of the development activities
	List of Figures
Figure 1-1	Map illustrating the location of the proposed Houthaalboomen North Cluster 7
Figure 3-2	The project area in relation to the renewable energy database projects in the



Freshwater and Terrestrial Scoping Report



Figure 3-8	Map illustrating the Terrestrial Ecological Support Areas associated with the assessment area
Figure 3-9	Map illustrating the Aquatic Ecological Support Areas associated with the assessment area
Figure 3-10	Map illustrating wetlands associated with the project area (NBA, 2018 and NFEPA wetland, 2011)
Figure 3-11	The project area showing the regional ecosystem threat status of the associated aquatic ecosystems (NBA, 2018)
Figure 3-12	The project area showing the regional level of protection of aquatic ecosystems (NBA, 2018)
Figure 3-13	Map illustrating the vegetation type associated with the assessment area9
Figure 3-14	Location of the project area in relation to the SQR
Figure 3-15	Map illustrating the Flora Theme Sensitivity as generated from the National Environmental Screening Tool
Figure 3-16	Map illustrating the Fauna Theme Sensitivity as generated from the National Environmental Screening Tool
Figure 3-17	Map illustrating the combined Terrestrial Theme Sensitivity as generated from the National Environmental Screening Tool
Figure 3-18	Map illustrating the Aquatic Theme Sensitivity as generated from the National Environmental Screening Tool





1 Introduction

1.1 Background

The Biodiversity Company was appointed to compile a Scoping Report, as part of the environmental authorisation process for the proposed Houthaalboomen North PV Cluster project (Figure 1-1). The following information is as provided by the client:

The applicants, Euphorbia PV (Pty) Ltd, Hillardia PV (Pty) Ltd and Verbena PV (Pty) Ltd are proposing the construction of photovoltaic (PV) solar energy facilities (known as the Euphorbia PV facility, Hillardia PV facility and Verbena PV facility). These facilities are collectively referred to as the Houthaalboomen North PV Cluster, located on a site approximately 10 km north west of the town of Lichtenburg in the North West Province. This cluster area will be referred to as the 'project area' from hereon. The solar PV facilities will comprise several arrays of PV panels and associated infrastructure and will have a contracted capacity of up to 100 MW each. The project area is situated within the Ditsobotla Local Municipality within the Ngaka Modiri Molema District Municipality and is accessible via the R505, located east of the development area.

The project area for the PV facilities and associated infrastructure will be located on the following properties:

- Portion 2 of the Farm Houthaalboomen 31;
- Portion 3 of the Farm Houthaalboomen 31; and
- Portion 4 of the Farm Houthaalboomen 31.

For the Euphorbia PV facility, an area of approximately 207 ha is being assessed, for the Verbena PV facility, an area of approximately 220 ha is being assessed, while for the Hillardia PV facility an area of 230 ha is being assessed. These areas are being assessed as part of the Environmental Impact Assessment (EIA) process. The infrastructure associated with each 100 MW facility includes:

- PV modules and mounting structures;
- Inverters and transformers;
- Battery Energy Storage System (BESS);
- Site and internal access roads (up to 8 m wide);
- Auxiliary buildings (22 kV or 33 kV switch room, gate-house and security, control centre, office, warehouse, canteen & visitors centre, staff lockers etc.);
- Temporary and permanent laydown area;
- Cabling between the panels, to be laid underground where practical; and
- Grid connection solution, including:
 - Medium-voltage cabling between the project components and the facility substation (within a 100 m wide and 2.5 km in length corridor); and





• A 132 kV facility substation.

The Euphorbia PV facility intends to connect to the National Grid via the Watershed Main Transmission Substation (MTS) (approximately 5 km south east of the facility), however, the connection infrastructure associated with this grid solution is being assessed as part of a separate Environmental Application.

The approach for this assessment was informed by the Environmental Impact Assessment Regulations. 2014 (GNR 326, 7 April 2017) of the National Environmental Management Act, 1998 (Act No. 107 of 1998) (NEMA). The approach has taken cognisance of the recently published Government Notices 320 (20 March 2020) in terms of NEMA, dated 20 March and 30 October 2020: "Procedures for the Assessment and Minimum Criteria for Reporting on Identified Environmental Themes in terms of Sections 24(5)(a) and (h) and 44 of the National Environmental Management Act, 1998, when applying for Environmental Authorisation" (Reporting Criteria). The National Web-based Environmental Screening Tool has characterised both the terrestrial theme and also aquatic theme sensitivity of the project area as "Very High".



Figure 1-1 Map illustrating the location of the proposed Houthaalboomen North Cluster

1.2 Scope of Work

The principal aim of the assessment was to provide information to inform the impacts of the proposed activity to the ecological constraints within the project area. This was achieved through the following:





- Desktop assessment to identify the relevant ecologically important geographical features within the project area;
- Desktop assessment to compile an expected species list and identify possible threatened flora and fauna species that occur within the project area;
- Identify the possible impacts that might be associated with the project based on desktop information; and
- The prescription of possible mitigation measures and recommendations for potential impacts.
- Identify the approach towards assessment that will follow.

1.3 Key Legislative Requirements

The legislation, policies and guidelines listed below in Table 1-1 are applicable to the current project in terms of biodiversity and ecological support systems. The list below, although extensive, may not be complete and other legislation, policies and guidelines may apply in addition to those listed below.

Table 1-1	A list of key legislative requirements relevant to biodiversity and conservation
	in the North West

Region	Legislation
	Convention on Biological Diversity (CBD, 1993)
	The Convention on Wetlands (RAMSAR Convention, 1971)
International	The United Nations Framework Convention on Climate Change (UNFCC, 1994)
	The Convention on International Trade in Endangered Species of Wild Fauna and Flora (CITES 1973)
	The Convention on the Conservation of Migratory Species of Wild Animals (Bonn Convention, 1979)
	Constitution of the Republic of South Africa (Act No. 108 of 2006)
	The National Environmental Management Act (NEMA) (Act No. 107 of 1998)
	The National Environmental Management Protected Areas Act (Act No. 57 of 2003)
	The National Environmental Management Biodiversity Act (Act No. 10 of 2004)
	The National Environmental Management Act (NEMA) (Act No. 107 of 1998) Section 24, No 42946 (January 2020)
	The National Environmental Management Act (NEMA) (Act No. 107 of 1998) Section 24, No 43110 (March 2020)
	The National Environmental Management: Waste Act, 2008 (Act 59 of 2008);
National	The Environment Conservation Act (Act No. 73 of 1989) and associated EIA Regulations
	National Protected Areas Expansion Strategy (NPAES)
	Environmental Conservation Act (Act No. 73 of 1983)
	Natural Scientific Professions Act (Act No. 27 of 2003)
	National Biodiversity Framework (NBF, 2009)
	National Forest Act (Act No. 84 of 1998)
	National Veld and Forest Fire Act (101 of 1998)
	National Spatial Biodiversity Assessment (NSBA)
	World Heritage Convention Act (Act No. 49 of 1999)





	National Heritage Resources Act, 1999 (Act 25 of 1999)
	Municipal Systems Act (Act No. 32 of 2000)
	Alien and Invasive Species Regulations, 2014
	South Africa's National Biodiversity Strategy and Action Plan (NBSAP)
	Conservation of Agricultural Resources Act, 1983 (Act 43 of 1983)
	Sustainable Utilisation of Agricultural Resources (Draft Legislation).
	White Paper on Biodiversity
	North-West Biodiversity Sector Plan of 2015 (READ, 2015).
Dravinalal	The North West Biodiversity Management Amendment Bill, 2017
Provincial	Bophuthatswana Nature Conservation Act (Act 3 of 1973)
	Transvaal Nature Conservation Ordinance (No. 12 of 1983)

2 Methods

2.1 Ecologically Important Landscape Features

Existing ecologically relevant data layers were incorporated into a GIS to establish how the proposed development might interact with any ecologically important entities. Emphasis was placed around the following spatial datasets:

- National Biodiversity Assessment 2018 (Skowno *et al*, 2019) The purpose of the National Biodiversity Assessment (NBA) is to assess the state of South Africa's biodiversity based on the best available science, with a view to understanding trends over time and informing policy and decision-making across a range of sectors. The NBA deals with all three components of biodiversity: genes, species and ecosystems; and assesses biodiversity and ecosystems across terrestrial, freshwater, estuarine and marine environments. The two headline indicators assessed in the NBA are:
 - Ecosystem Threat Status indicator of an ecosystem's wellbeing, based on the level of change in structure, function or composition. Ecosystem types are categorised as Critically Endangered (CR), Endangered (EN), Vulnerable (VU), Near Threatened (NT) or Least Concern (LC), based on the proportion of the original extent of each ecosystem type that remains in good ecological condition.
 - Ecosystem Protection Level indicator of the extent to which ecosystems are adequately protected or under-protected. Ecosystem types are categorised as Well Protected (WP), Moderately Protected (MP), Poorly Protected (PP), or Not Protected (NP), based on the proportion of the biodiversity target for each ecosystem type that is included within one or more protected areas. Not Protected, Poorly Protected or Moderately Protected ecosystem types are collectively referred to as under-protected ecosystems.
- Protected areas:
 - South Africa Protected Areas Database (SAPAD) and South Africa Conservation Areas Database (SACAD) (DEA, 2021) – The South African





Protected Areas Database (SAPAD) and the South Africa Conservation Areas Database (SACAD) contains spatial data for the conservation of South Africa. It includes spatial and attribute information for both formally protected areas and areas that have less formal protection. These databases are updated continuously and forms the basis for the Register of Protected Areas which is a legislative requirement under the National Environmental Management: Protected Areas Act, Act 57 of 2003.

- National Protected Areas Expansion Strategy (NPAES) (SANBI, 2017) The National Protected Area Expansion Strategy (NPAES) provides spatial information on areas that are suitable for terrestrial ecosystem protection. These focus areas are large, intact and unfragmented and are, therefore, of high importance for biodiversity, climate resilience and freshwater protection.
- North West Biodiversity Sector Plan

The North-West Department of Rural, Environment, and Agricultural Development (READ), as custodian of the environment in the North West, is the primary implementing agent of the Biodiversity Sector Plan. The spatial component of the Biodiversity Sector Plan is based on systematic biodiversity planning undertaken by READ. The purpose of a Biodiversity Sector Plan is to inform land use planning, environmental assessments, land and water use authorisations, as well as natural resource management, undertaken by a range of sectors whose policies and decisions impact on biodiversity. This is done by providing a map of biodiversity priority areas, referred to as Critical Biodiversity Areas (CBAs) and Ecological Support Areas (ESAs), with accompanying land use planning and decision-making guidelines (READ, 2015).

Critical Biodiversity Areas (CBAs) are terrestrial and aquatic areas of the landscape that need to be maintained in a natural or near-natural state to ensure the continued existence and functioning of species and ecosystems and the delivery of ecosystem services. Thus, if these areas are not maintained in a natural or near natural state then biodiversity targets cannot be met. Maintaining an area in a natural state can include a variety of biodiversity compatible land uses and resource uses (READ, 2015).

Ecological Support Areas (ESAs) are terrestrial and aquatic areas that are not essential for meeting biodiversity representation targets (thresholds), but which play an important role in supporting the ecological functioning of critical biodiversity areas and/or in delivering ecosystem services that support socio-economic development, such as water provision, flood mitigation or carbon sequestration. The degree or extent of restriction on land use and resource use in these areas may be lower than that recommended for CBAs (READ, 2015).

- Hydrological Setting:
 - South African Inventory of Inland Aquatic Ecosystems (SAIIAE) (Van Deventer et al, 2018) – A South African Inventory of Inland Aquatic Ecosystems (SAIIAE) was established during the National Biodiversity Assessment of 2018. It is a collection of data layers that represent the extent of river and inland wetland ecosystem types as well as pressures on these systems.





- Strategic Water Source Areas (SWSAs) (Le Maitre *et al*, 2018) SWSAs are defined as areas of land that supply a quantity of mean annual surface water runoff in relation to their size and therefore, contribute considerably to the overall water supply of the country. These are key ecological infrastructure assets and the effective protection of surface water SWSAs areas is vital for national security because a lack of water security will compromise national security and human wellbeing.
- National Freshwater Ecosystem Priority Areas (NFEPA) The NFEPA spatial data has been incorporated in the above mentioned SAIIAE spatial data set. However, to ensure that this data sets are considered we included it as the Freshwater Ecosystem Priority Areas (FEPAs) (Driver *et al.*, 2011) are intended to be conservation support tools and are envisioned to guide the effective implementation of measures to achieve the National Environment Management Biodiversity Act (NEM:BA) biodiversity goals (Nel *et al.*, 2011).

2.2 Assumptions and Limitations

The following assumptions and limitations are applicable for this assessment:

- It is assumed that all information received from the client is correct;
- No alternatives were considered for this assessment;
- Based on the type of activity, the property boundary was determined as the Project Area of Influence (PAOI) for the assessment; and
- This scoping report is based on desktop data.

3 Desktop Analysis

3.1 Ecologically Important Landscape Features

The relevance of the proposed development to ecologically important landscape features are summarised in Table 3-1.

Table 3-1Summary of the relevance of the proposed development to ecologically
important landscape features.

Desktop Information Considered	Euphorbia PV facility	Hillardia PV facility	Verbena PV facility	Section
Renewable Energy Database	There are 8 projects in the near vicinity			
Ecosystem Threat Status	Located within a Least Concerned ecosystem			3.1.2.1
Ecosystem Protection Level	Located within a Poorly Protected ecosystem			3.1.2.2
Protected Areas	Located 5.4 km from the Marico Biosphere Reserve	Located 5.5 km from the Marico Biosphere Reserve	Located 5.3 km from the Marico Biosphere Reserve	3.1.4
Critical Biodiversity Area	According to the terrestrial NWBSP, the project area traverses a terrestrial ESA level 1 (ESA 1) (NWREAD, 2015) and according to the Aquatic BSP, it traverses an ESA1 area.			3.1.5
South African Inventory of Inland Aquatic Ecosystems	The project area is approximately 9 km away from a CR watercourse that is also considered poorly protected. The closest wetland is approximately 1.7 km away.			3.1.6





National Freshwater	No NFEPA designated to the associated SQR and no NFEPA wetlands were found within	316
Ecosystem Priority Areas	the 500 m regulation area.	J.1.0
National Protected Area Expansion Strategy	Irrelevant – The project area does not overlap with a Priority Focus Area as can be seen in Error! Reference source not found It is however located about 4 km from the Lichtenburg Game Breeding Centre. The breeding centre is operated by the National Zoological Gardens of South Africa	-
Strategic Water Source Areas	Irrelevant – The project area is not located within a surface water SWSA. The project area does overlay the Bo-Molopo Karst Belt groundwater SWSA.	-
Renewable Energy	Irrelevant - The project area is approximately 68 km from the Klerksdorp REDZ (REDZ 10)	
Development Zones	area	_

3.1.1 Renewable Energy Database

The Renewable Energy Database (<u>http://egis.environment.gov.za/</u>), shows that there are 8 projects in the nearby vicinity (Figure 3-1) that have received Environmental authorisation except for the Tlisitseng PV1. SEF which is still under process. This may increase the overall cumulative impact on the biodiversity in the area. The proposed developments are all newaable energy developments.



Figure 3-1 The project area in relation to the renewable energy database projects in the area

3.1.2 The National Biodiversity Assessment

The National Biodiversity Assessment (NBA) was completed as a collaboration between the SANBI, the DEA and other stakeholders, including scientists and biodiversity management experts throughout the country over three years (Skowno *et al.*, 2019).





The purpose of the NBA is to assess the state of South Africa's biodiversity with a view to understanding trends over time and informing policy and decision-making across a range of sectors (Skowno *et al.*, 2019).

The two headline indicators assessed in the NBA are *ecosystem threat status* and *ecosystem protection level* (Skowno *et al.*, 2019). Government Notice No. 320 Protocol for the Specialist Assessment and Minimum Report Content Requirements for Environmental Impacts on Terrestrial Biodiversity as published in Government Gazette 43110 dated 20 March 2020, and 2) Government Notice No. 1150 Protocol for the Specialist Assessment and Minimum Report Content Requirements for Environmental Impacts on Terrestrial Plant and Animal Species as published in Government Gazette 43855 dated 30 October 2020, require reporting on the description of terrestrial biodiversity and ecosystems on the preferred site as per section 2.3.5 of the "Theme-Specific Requirements".

3.1.2.1 Ecosystem Threat Status

The Ecosystem Threat Status is an indicator of an ecosystem's wellbeing, based on the level of change in structure, function or composition. Ecosystem types are categorised as Critically Endangered (CR), Endangered (EN), Vulnerable (VU), Near Threatened (NT) or Least Concern (LC), based on the proportion of the original extent of each ecosystem type that remains in good ecological condition. According to the most recent NBA database, dated 2018 and released in 2019, the project area forms part of the remaining extent of Carletonville Dolomite Grassland with a threat status of Least Concern (LC) (Figure 3-2).



Figure 3-2 Map illustrating the ecosystem threat status associated with the assessment area





3.1.2.2 Ecosystem Protection Level

Indicator of the extent to which ecosystems are adequately protected or under-protected. Ecosystem types are categorised as Well Protected (WP), Moderately Protected (MP), Poorly Protected (PP), or Not Protected (NP), based on the proportion of the biodiversity target for each ecosystem type that is included within one or more protected areas. Not Protected, PP or MP ecosystem types are collectively referred to as under-protected ecosystems. The proposed development overlaps with a PP ecosystem (Figure 3-3).



Figure 3-3 Map illustrating the ecosystem protection level associated with assessment area

3.1.3 The National List of Threatened Terrestrial Ecosystems

The National List of Threatened Terrestrial Ecosystems for South Africa (NEM:BA: National list of ecosystems that are threatened and in need of protection, (GN 34809, GN 1002), 9 December 2011) was published in terms of NEM: BA and the list categorizes ecosystems into Critically Endangered (CR) which have undergone severe degradation; Endangered (EN) which have undergone lesser degradation; Vulnerable (VU), which are at a high risk of undergoing degradation and protected which are of high conservation importance. The criteria used for identifying threatened terrestrial ecosystems was done through extensive stakeholder engagement and based on the best available science. The criteria for thresholds for critically endangered, endangered, and vulnerable ecosystems are summarized in Table 3-2.





	•	-	
Criterion	Critically Endangered	Endangered	Vulnerable
A1: Irreversible loss of natural habitat	Remaining natural habitat < biodiversity target	Remaining natural habitat < biodiversity target + 15%	Remaining natural habitat < 60% of the original area
A2: Ecosystem degradation and loss of integrity	> 60% of ecosystem significantly degraded	> 40% of ecosystem significantly degraded	> 20% of ecosystem
C: Limited extent and imminent threat		Ecosystem extent < 3000ha and imminent threat	significantly degraded Ecosystem extent <
D: Threatened plant species associations	> 80 threatened Red List plant species	> 60 threatened Red List plant species	 > 40 threatened Red List plant species
F: Priority areas for meeting explicit biodiversity targets as defined in a systematic biodiversity plan	Very high irreplaceability and high threat	Very high irreplaceability and medium threat	Very high biodiversity and low threat

Table 3-2 Criteria used to identify threatened terrestrial ecosystems

There are four main types of implications of listed ecosystems on development:

- Planning related implications, linked to the requirement in the National Environmental Management Biodiversity Act (NEM: BA) for listed ecosystems to be considered in municipal Integrated Development Plans (IDPs) and Spatial Development Frameworks (SDFs);
- Environmental authorisation implications, especially in terms of NEMA and EIA regulations;
- Proactive management implications, in terms of the Biodiversity Act; and
- Monitoring and reporting implications, in terms of the Biodiversity Act.

The project does not traverse any threatened or protected ecosystem but a "Critically Endangered" vegetation unit, Western Highveld Sandy Grassland (Gh 14) is more than 5 km (*ca.* 7.5 km) from the project area(Figure 3-4). According to the description in GN 1002, the Western Highveld Sandy Grassland (Gh 14) is listed under CriterionA1: Irreversible loss of natural habitat. The Geographical extent of the Egoli Granite compromises the Johannesburg Dome, extending in the region between northern Johannesburg in the south and from near LANSERIA Airport and Centurion (South of Pretoria) to the north, westwards to about Muldersdrift and eastwards to Tembisa. For EIAs, the 2011 National list of Threatened Ecosystems remains the trigger for a Basic Assessment in terms of Listing Notice 3 of the EIA Regulations 2014, as amended published under the National Environmental Management Act, 1998 (Act No. 107 of 1998). However, the updated 2018 ecosystem threat status has been considered in the assessment of impact significance in EIAs. The purpose of listing threatened, or protected ecosystems is primarily to preserve sites of exceptionally high conservation value.







Figure 3-4 Map illustrating the locations of National Threatened Ecosystems proximal to the Data Centre project area.

3.1.4 Protected Areas

According to the protected area spatial datasets, the proposed development does not occur within any protected area but is within proximity to a NPAES area. The project area is located about 4 km from the Lichtenburg Game Breeding Centre. The breeding centre is operated by the National Zoological Gardens of South Africa. The Marico Biosphere Reserve is found approximately 5 km north from the project area (Figure 3-5).







Figure 3-5 Map illustrating the location of protected areas proximal to the assessment area

3.1.5 Biodiversity Spatial Plan

Conservation of CBAs is crucial, in that if these areas are not maintained in a natural or nearnatural state, biodiversity conservation targets cannot be met. Maintaining an area in a natural state can include a variety of biodiversity compatible land uses and resource uses (SANBI-BGIS, 2017). According to the terrestrial NWBSP, the project area traverses a terrestrial ESA level 1 (ESA 1) (NWREAD, 2015) (Figure 3-6). These ESA 1 areas function as linkages/corridors (comprising of natural vegetation) between the important biodiversity areas and major freshwater resource and their fringing terrestrial habitats. The management mandate for ESA 1 is to maintain at least a semi-natural state and basic natural attributes. The aquatic BSP depict the project area as overlapping with an area regarded as ESA1 (Figure 3-7). These are modelled freshwater resource features (watercourses and wetlands) based on the modelling technique developed by Nacelle Collins using SRMv3 90m DEM. The status of these classifications will be confirmed during further field assessment. As the project area is not crossed by rivers or wetlands on the desktop level, these ESA1 areas are probably groundwater recharge areas (especially related to dolomitic areas).







Figure 3-6 Map illustrating the Terrestrial Ecological Support Areas associated with the assessment area



Figure 3-7 Map illustrating the Aquatic Ecological Support Areas associated with the assessment area





3.1.6 South African Inventory of Inland Aquatic Ecosystems

This spatial dataset is part of the South African Inventory of Inland Aquatic Ecosystems (SAIIAE) which was released as part of the National Biodiversity Assessment (NBA) 2018. National Wetland Map 5 includes inland wetlands and estuaries, associated with river line data and many other data sets within the South African Inventory of Inland Aquatic Ecosystems (SAIIAE) 2018. The two headline indicators assessed in the NBA are *ecosystem threat status* and *ecosystem protection level* (Skowno *et al.*, 2019). According to the SAIIAE data, there are no wetlands found within the 500 m regulation area. The closest drainage line and wetlands are approximately 1.71 km outside the regulation area (Figure 3-8).



Figure 3-8 Map illustrating wetlands associated with the project area (NBA, 2018 and NFEPA wetland, 2011)

3.1.6.1 Ecosystem Threat Status

Ecosystem threat status outlines the degree to which ecosystems are still intact or alternatively losing vital aspects of their structure, function and composition, on which their ability to provide ecosystem services ultimately depends (Skowno *et al.*, 2019).

Ecosystem types are categorised as Critically Endangered (CR), Endangered (EN), Vulnerable (VU) or Least Threatened (LT), based on the proportion of each ecosystem type that remains in good ecological condition (Skowno *et al.*, 2019). The project area was superimposed on the aquatic ecosystem threat status (Figure 3-9). Based on Figure 3-9 the project area does not traverse aquatic ecosystems, however, the aquatic ecosystems that are closest to the proposed project area (*ca.* 10 km) are considered Critically Endangered.







Figure 3-9 The project area showing the regional ecosystem threat status of the associated aquatic ecosystems (NBA, 2018)

3.1.6.2 Ecosystem Protection Level

Ecosystem protection level tells us whether ecosystems are adequately protected or underprotected. Ecosystem types are categorised as not protected, poorly protected, moderately protected or well protected, based on the proportion of each ecosystem type that occurs within a protected area recognised in the Protected Areas Act (Skowno *et al.*, 2019).

The project area was superimposed on the ecosystem protection level map to assess the protection status of aquatic ecosystems associated with the development (Figure 3-10). Based on Figure 3-10 the project area does not traverse aquatic ecosystems, however, the aquatic ecosystems that are closest to the proposed project area (*ca.* 10 km) are rated as poorly protected, with the upper reaches of Klein-Harts and the larger Harts River rated as Not Protected.







Figure 3-10 The project area showing the regional level of protection of aquatic ecosystems (NBA, 2018)

3.1.7 Strategic Water Source Areas

A national Strategic Water Source Areas of South Africa (SWSA) are those areas that supply a disproportionate amount of mean annual runoff in relation to the size of the geographical region. These areas are important because they have the potential to contribute significantly to overall water quality and supply, supporting growth and development needs that are often a far distance away. These areas make up 8% of the land area across South Africa, Lesotho and Swaziland, but provide 50% of the water in these countries (SANBI). Based on the March 2021 SWSAs spatial data (WRC,2021)(the proposed project is not situated within a Strategic Water Source Area and the specific activity is unlikely to have an impact on any downstream water resources, as it is unlikely to alter water flows.

3.1.8 National Freshwater Ecosystem Priority Area Status

The National Freshwater Ecosystem Priority Areas (NFEPA) database forms part of a comprehensive approach to the sustainable and equitable development of South Africa's scarce water resources. This database guides how many rivers, wetlands and estuaries, and which ones, should remain in a natural or near-natural condition to support the water resource protection goals of the National Water Act (Act 36 of 1998). This directly applies to the National Water Act, which feeds into Catchment Management Strategies, water resource classification, reserve determination, and the setting and monitoring of resource quality objectives (Nel *et al.*, 2011). The NFEPAs are intended to be conservation support tools and envisioned to guide the effective implementation of measures to achieve the National Environment Management Biodiversity Act's biodiversity goals (NEM:BA) (Act 10 of 2004), informing both the listing of





threatened freshwater ecosystems and the process of bioregional planning provided for by this Act (Nel *et al.*, 2011). No FEPA rivers nor wetlands are within proximity to the project area, with no systems located in the project area.

3.2 Flora Assessment

3.2.1 Vegetation Type

The project area is situated within the grassland biome. This biome is centrally located in southern Africa and adjoins all except the desert, fynbos and succulent Karoo biomes (Mucina & Rutherford, 2006). Major macroclimatic traits that characterise the grassland biome include:

- a) Seasonal precipitation; and
- b) The minimum temperatures in winter (Mucina & Rutherford, 2006).

The grassland biome is found chiefly on the high central plateau of South Africa, and the inland areas of KwaZulu-Natal and the Eastern Cape. The topography is mainly flat and rolling but includes the escarpment itself. Altitude varies from near sea level to 2 850 m above sea level.

Grasslands are dominated by a single layer of grasses. The amount of cover depends on rainfall and the degree of grazing. The grassland biome experiences summer rainfall and dry winters with frost (and fire), which are unfavourable for tree growth. Thus, trees are typically absent, except in a few localized habitats. Geophytes (bulbs) are often abundant. Frosts, fire and grazing maintain the grass dominance and prevent the establishment of trees.

On a fine-scale vegetation type, the project area overlaps with the Carletonville Dolomite Grassland vegetation type (Figure 3-11).







Figure 3-11 Map illustrating the vegetation type associated with the assessment area

3.2.1.1 Carletonville Dolomite Grassland

This vegetation type occurs on slightly undulating plains dissected by prominent rocky chert ridges. Species-rich grasslands forming a complex mosaic pattern dominated by many species (Mucina & Rutherford, 2006). This vegetation type occurs in the North-West, Gauteng and marginally into the Free State Province: In the region of Potchefstroom, Ventersdorp and Carletonville, extending westwards to the vicinity of Ottoshoop, but also occurring as far east as Centurion and Bapsfontein in Gauteng Province.

Important Plant Taxa

Important plant taxa are those species that have a high abundance, a frequent occurrence or are prominent in the landscape within a particular vegetation type (Mucina & Rutherford, 2006).

The following species are important in the **Carletonville Dolomite Grassland** vegetation type:

Graminoids: Aristida congesta, Brachiaria Cynodon dactylon, serrata, Digitaria tricholaenoides, Diheteropogon amplectens, Eragrostis chloromelas, Ε. racemosa, Heteropogon contortus, Loudetia simplex, Schizachyrium sanguineum, Setaria sphacelata, Themeda triandra, Alloteropsis semialata subsp. eckloniana, Andropogon schirensis, Aristida canescens, A. diffusa, Bewsia biflora, Bulbostylis burchellii, Cymbopogon caesius, C. pospischilii, Elionurus muticus, Eragrostis curvula, E. gummiflua, E. plana, Eustachys paspaloides, Hyparrhenia hirta, Melinis nerviglumis, M. repens subsp. repens, Monocymbium ceresiiforme, Panicum coloratum, Pogonarthria squarrosa, Trichoneura grandiglumis, Triraphis andropogonoides, Tristachya leucothrix, T. rehmannii.

Herbs: Acalypha angustata, Barleria macrostegia, Chamaecrista mimosoides, Chamaesyce inaequilatera, Crabbea angustifolia, Dianthus mooiensis, Dicoma anomala, Helichrysum caespititium, H. miconiifolium, H. nudifolium var. nudifolium, Ipomoea ommaneyi, Justicia anagalloides, Kohautia amatymbica, Kyphocarpa angustifolia, Ophrestia oblongifolia, Pollichia campestris, Senecio coronatus, Vernonia oligocephala.

Geophytic Herbs: Boophone disticha, Habenaria mossii.

Low Shrubs: Anthospermum rigidum subsp. pumilum, Indigofera comosa, Pygmaeothamnus zeyheri var. rogersii, Searsia magalismontana, Tylosema esculentum, Ziziphus zeyheriana.

Geoxylic Suffrutices: Elephantorrhiza elephantina, Parinari capensis subsp. capensis.

Conservation Status of the Vegetation Type

According to Mucina and Rutherford (2006), this vegetation type is classified as VU, according to the NBA (2018) this vegetation type is classified as LC. The national target for conservation protection for both these vegetation types is 24%, but only a small extent is conserved in statutory (Sterkfontein Caves — part of the Cradle of Humankind World Heritage Site, Oog Van Malmanie, Abe Bailey, Boskop Dam, Schoonspruit, Krugersdorp, Olifantsvlei, Groenkloof) and in at least six private conservation areas. Almost a quarter already transformed for cultivation, by urban sprawl or by mining activity as well as the building of the Boskop and Klerkskraal Dams.



3.2.2 Expected Flora Species

The POSA database indicates that 283 species of indigenous plants are expected to occur within the project area (The full list of species will be provided in the final report). One (1) nationally protected tree could be expected within the project area and are provided in Table 3-3 below.

Table 3-3Threatened flora species that may occur within the project area

Family	Taxon	Author	IUCN	Ecology
Fabaceae	Vachellia erioloba	(E.Mey.) P.J.H.Hurter	LC	Indigenous

3.3 Faunal Assessment

3.3.1 Amphibians

Based on the IUCN Red List Spatial Data and AmphibianMap, 19 amphibian species are expected to occur within the area (The full list will be provided in the impact assessment report). One (1) are regarded as threatened (Table 3-4).

Table 3-4Threatened amphibian species that are expected to occur within the project
area

Species	Common Namo	Conservation S	Likelihood of occurrence	
Species	Common Marile	Regional (SANBI, 2016)	IUCN (2021)	LIKEIIIIOOD OF OCCUITENCE
Pyxicephalus adspersus	Giant Bullfrog	NT	LC	High

Giant Bull Frog (*Pyxicephalus adspersus*) is a species of conservation concern that could likely occur in the project area, as wetlands are present in the nearby areas. The Giant Bull Frog is listed as NT on a regional scale. It is a species of drier savannas where it is fossorial for most of the year, remaining buried in cocoons. They emerge at the start of the rains, and breed in shallow, temporary waters in pools, pans and ditches (IUCN, 2017).

3.3.2 Reptiles

Based on the IUCN Red List Spatial Data and the ReptileMAP database, 42 reptile species are expected to occur within the area (The full list will be provided in the final assessment). None of the species is regarded as threatened.

3.3.3 Mammals

The IUCN Red List Spatial Data lists 68 mammal species that could be expected to occur within the area (The full list will be provided in the final assessment). This list excludes large mammal species that are normally restricted to protected areas. Ten (10) of these expected species are regarded as threatened (Table 3-5), eight of these have a low likelihood of occurrence based on the lack of suitable habitat and food sources in the project area.

Species	Common Namo	Conservation St	Likelihood of		
Species	Common Marie	Regional (SANBI, 2016)	IUCN (2021)	occurrence	
Aonyx capensis	Cape Clawless Otter	NT	NT	Low	
Atelerix frontalis	South Africa Hedgehog	NT	LC	Moderate	
Crocidura mariquensis	Swamp Musk Shrew	NT	LC	Low	

 Table 3-5
 Threatened mammal species that are expected to occur within the project area



Houthaalboomen North	n PV Cluster
----------------------	--------------

Felis nigripes	Black-footed Cat	VU	VU	Moderate
Hydrictis maculicollis	Spotted-necked Otter	VU	NT	Low
Mystromys albicaudatus	White-tailed Rat	VU	EN	Low
Panthera pardus	Leopard	VU	VU	Low
Parahyaena brunnea	Brown Hyaena	NT	NT	Low
Poecilogale albinucha	African Striped Weasel	NT	LC	Low
Smutsia temminckii	Temminck's Ground Pangolin	VU	VU	Low

Atelerix frontalis (South African Hedgehog) has a tolerance to a degree for habitat modification and occurs in a wide variety of semi-arid and sub-temperate habitats (IUCN, 2017). Based on the Red List of Mammals of South Africa, Lesotho and Swaziland (2016), *A. frontalis* populations are decreasing due to the threats of electrocution, veld fires, road collisions, predation from domestic pets and illegal harvesting. Suitable grasslands occur in the project area, although somewhat disturbed (based on brief screening assessment), that can function as habitat for this species, as such the likelihood of occurrence is rated as moderate.

Felis nigripes (Black-footed cat) is endemic to the arid regions of southern Africa. This species is naturally rare, has cryptic colouring, is small in size and is nocturnal. These factors have contributed to a lack of information on this species. The highest densities of this species have been recorded in the more arid Karoo region of South Africa. The habitat in the project area can be considered to be somewhat suitable for the species and the likelihood of occurrence is therefore rated as moderate.

3.4 Freshwater Assessment

3.4.1 Hydrological Setting

The project area is mainly within the Vaal Water Management Area (WMA) (A small portion of the Hillardia project area is located within the Crocodile (west) and Marico WMA), Highveld – Lower Aquatic Ecoregion and within the C31A quaternary catchment.

3.4.2 Present Ecological Status

3.4.2.1 Status of Sub-Quaternary Reaches (SQR)

The project area overlaps the C31A and D41A quaternary catchments, with all three PV facilities situated in the same Sub Water Management Area (Sub-WMA) (Upper Molopo Sub-WMA) and same Sub-Quaternary Reach (SQR) (D41A-01160), with information obtained from DWS (2014) (Figure 3-12). The D41A-01160 SQR spans 9.04 km of the LotIhakane River, with the nearest watercourse more than 20 km from the project area.

The PES category of the reach is classed as largely modified (class D) (Table 3-6). The moderately modified state of the reach was attributed to serious potential flow modifications activities, potential instream habitat modification activities, impacts to wetland and riparian zone, impacts to the instream habitat continuity, physico-chemical conditions (water quality) and large riparian and wetland zone continuity.







Figure 3-12 Location of the project area in relation to the SQR

Table 3-6	Summary of the Present Ecological State of the D41A-01160 SQR
	ouninary of the resent Ecological State of the D41A-01100 OQN

Component/Catchment	D41A-01160
Present Ecological Status	Largely Modified (class D)
Ecological Importance Class	Moderate
Ecological Sensitivity	Moderate
Default Ecological Category	Moderately Modified (class C)

3.5 National Environmental Screening Tool

This section provides the Plant Species, Animal Species and Combined Terrestrial Biodiversity Sensitivity of the development footprint as generated from the National Environmental Screening Tool. The Screening Tool identifies related exclusions and/ or specific requirements including specialist studies applicable to the proposed site and/or development, based on the national sector classification and the environmental sensitivity of the site. The screening tool accessed can be at https://screening.environment.gov.za/screeningtool/#/pages/welcome. The following is deduced from the National Web-based Environmental Screening Tool:

- Terrestrial Biodiversity Theme sensitivity is "High" for the proposed project due to the project area traversing an Ecological Support Area 1;
- Plant Species Theme sensitivity ranges from Medium with several sensitive species predicted to be present;





- Animal Species Theme sensitivity is classified as "Low"; and
- The Aquatic Theme Sensitivity is 'Very High' as the area falls within the aquatic Critical Biodiversity Area, a groundwater Strategic Water Source Area and with wetlands in the area.

The biodiversity protocol (Government Notice 320 (20 March 2020)) provides the procedures for the assessment and minimum reporting criteria based on identified environmental themes. Due to the 'High' and 'Very High' terrestrial and aquatic theme senstivitities, compreehsnive specialist assessments are required as opposed to compliance statements. In the event that the information gathered from the site sensitivity verification differs from the desktop sensitivity designation and it is found to be of a "low" sensitivity (or irrelevant due to absence), then a correspondiong compliance statement will be submitted.



Figure 3-13 Map illustrating the Flora Theme Sensitivity as generated from the National Environmental Screening Tool







Figure 3-14 Map illustrating the Fauna Theme Sensitivity as generated from the National Environmental Screening Tool







Figure 3-15 Map illustrating the combined Terrestrial Theme Sensitivity as generated from the National Environmental Screening Tool







Figure 3-16 Map illustrating the Aquatic Theme Sensitivity as generated from the National Environmental Screening Tool





4 Specialist Management Plan

The aim of the management outcomes is to present the suggested mitigationmeasures in such a way that the can be incorporated into the Environmental Management Programme (EMPr), allowing for more successful implementation and auditing of the mitigations and monitoring guidelines Table 4-1 presents the anticipated recommended mitigation measures and the respective timeframes, targets and performance indicators for the terrestrial study.

The focus of mitigation measures is to reduce the significance of potential impacts associated with the development and thereby to:

- Prevent the further loss and fragmentation of vegetation communities and the ESA1 areas in the vicinity of the project area;
- As far as possible, reduce the negative fragmentation effects of the development and enable safe movement of faunal species; and
- Prevent the direct and indirect loss and disturbance of faunal species and community (including occurring and potentially occurring species of conservation concern).

Note that the anticipated mitigation measures are provisional and will be refined at EIA stage.



Table 4-1 Mitigation measures including requirements for timeframes, roles and responsibilities for the terrestrial study

Impact Management Actions	Implementation		Monitoring	
impact management Actions	Phase	Responsible Party	Aspect	Frequency
	Management outcome: V	egetation and Habitats		
Areas of indigenous vegetation, even secondary communities outside of the direct project footprint, should under no circumstances be fragmented or disturbed further. Clearing of vegetation should be minimized and avoided where possible.	Life of operation	Project manager, Environmental Officer	Areas of indigenous vegetation	Ongoing
Where possible, existing access routes and walking paths must be made use of.	Construction/Operational Phase	Environmental Officer & Design Engineer	Roads and paths used	Ongoing
All laydown, chemical toilets etc. should be restricted to low sensitivity areas. Any materials may not be stored for extended periods of time and must be removed from the project area once the construction/closure phase has been concluded. No storage of vehicles or equipment will be allowed outside of the designated project areas.	Construction/Operational Phase	Environmental Officer & Design Engineer	Laydown areas	Ongoing
Areas that are denuded during construction need to be re-vegetated with indigenous vegetation to prevent erosion during flood and wind events. This will also reduce the likelihood of encroachment by alien invasive plant species.	Operational phase	Environmental Officer & Contractor	Assess the state of rehabilitation and encroachment of alien vegetation	Quarterly for up to two years after the closure
Any woody material removed can be shredded and used in conjunction with the topsoil to augment soil moisture and prevent further erosion.	Operational and Decommissioning phase	Environmental Officer & Contractor	Woody material around footprint	During Phase
A spill management plan must be put in place to ensure that should there be any chemical spill out or over that it does not run into the surrounding areas. The Contractor shall be in possession of an emergency spill kit that must always be complete and available on site. Drip trays or any form of oil absorbent material must be placed underneath vehicles/machinery and equipment when not in use. No servicing of equipment on site unless necessary. All contaminated soil / yard stone shall be treated in situ or removed and be placed in containers. Appropriately contain any generator diesel storage tanks, machinery spills (e.g., accidental spills of hydrocarbons oils, diesel etc.) in such a way as to prevent them leaking and entering the environment.	Life of operation	Environmental Officer & Contractor	Spill events, Vehicles dripping.	Ongoing
Storm Water must be managed through the implementation of a storm water management plan	Life of operation	Environmental Officer & Design Engineer	Presence of erosion	Ongoing
It should be made an offence for any staff to take/ bring any plant species into/out of any portion of the project area. No plant species whether indigenous or exotic should be brought into/taken from the project area, to prevent the spread of exotic or invasive species or the illegal collection of plants.	Life of operation	Project manager, Environmental Officer	Any instances	Ongoing





A fire management plan needs to be complied and implemented to restrict the impact fire might have on the surrounding areas.	Life of operation	Environmental Officer & Contractor	Fire Management	During Phase	
Rocks removed in the construction phased may not be dumped, but can be used in areas where erosion control needs to be performed	Operational phase	Environmental Officer & Contractor	Rock piles	During Phase	
	Management out	come: Fauna			
Impact Management Actions	Implem	entation		Monitoring	
impact management Actions	Phase	Responsible Party	Aspect	Frequency	
The areas to be developed must be specifically demarcated to prevent movement of staff or any individual into the surrounding environments, • Signs must be put up to enforce this	Construction/Operational Phase	Project manager, Environmental Officer	Infringement into these areas	Ongoing	
Noise must be kept to an absolute minimum during the evenings and at night to minimize all possible disturbances to amphibian species and nocturnal mammals	Construction/Operational Phase	Environmental Officer	Noise levels	Ongoing	
 No trapping, killing, or poisoning of any wildlife is to be allowed Signs must be put up to enforce this; 	Life of operation	Environmental Officer	Evidence of trapping etc	Ongoing	
Outside lighting should be designed and limited to minimize impacts on fauna. Fluorescent and mercury vapor lighting should be avoided, and sodium vapor (green/red) lights should be used wherever possible.	Construction/Operational Phase	Project manager, Environmental Officer & Design Engineer	Light pollution and period of light.	Ongoing	
All construction and maintenance motor vehicle operators should undergo an environmental induction that includes instruction on the need to comply with speed limits, to respect all forms of wildlife. Speed limits must still be enforced to ensure that road killings and erosion is limited.	Life of operation	Health and Safety Officer	Compliance to the training.	Ongoing	
Heat generated from the BESS and substation must be monitored to ensure it does not negatively affect the local fauna	Life of operation	Environmental Officer & Contractor	Heat generated by substations and BESS	Ongoing	
Any holes/deep excavations must be dug and planted in a progressive manner; Should the holes overnight they must be covered temporarily to ensure no small fauna species fall in.	Planning and construction	Environmental Officer & Contractor, Engineer	Presence of trapped animals and open holes	Ongoing	
Ensure that cables and connections are insulated successfully to reduce electrocution risk.	Planning and construction	Environmental Officer & Contractor, Engineer	Presence of electrocuted fauna	Ongoing	
Small holes (30cm by 30cm) must be placed in the fence along the wetland areas and stream areas to allow animals to move between the areas, the holes must not be placed in the fence where it is next to a major road as this will increase road killings in the area	Planning and construction	Environmental Officer & Contractor, Engineer	Fauna movement corridor	Ongoing	
Use environmentally friendly cleaning and dust suppressant products	Construction and operation	Environmental Officer & Contractor, Engineer	Presence of chemicals in and around the project area	Ongoing	
 Fencing mitigations: Top 2 strands must be smooth wire Routinely retention loose wires 	Planning, construction and operation	Environmental Officer & Contractor, Engineer	Monitor fences for slack wires	Ongoing	





- Minimum 30cm between wires
- Place markers on fences

Management outcome: Alien species				
Impact Management Actions	Imple	mentation	Monitoring	
Impact Management Actions	Phase	Responsible Party	Aspect	Frequency
The footprint area of the construction should be kept to a minimum. The footprint area must be clearly demarcated to avoid unnecessary disturbances to adjacent areas.	Construction/Operational Phase	Project manager, Environmental Officer & Contractor	Footprint Area	Life of operation
An alien management plan must be implemented quarterly for 2 years after phase	Construction phase and Decommissioning phase	Project manager, Environmental Officer & Contractor	Assess presence and encroachment of alien vegetation	Quarterly for 2 years after phase
	Management o	utcome: Dust		
	Imple	mentation		Monitoring
Impact Management Actions	Phase	Responsible Party	Aspect	Frequency
 Dust-reducing mitigation measures must be put in place and must be strictly adhered to. This includes wetting of exposed soft soil surfaces. No non environmentally friendly suppressants may be used as this could result in pollution of water sources 	Life of operation	Contractor	Dustfall	Dust monitoring program.
	Management outcome	: Waste management		
	Implementation			Monitoring
Impact Management Actions	Phase	Responsible Party	Aspect	Frequency
Waste management must be a priority and all waste must be collected and stored effectively.	Life of operation	Environmental Officer & Contractor	Waste Removal	Weekly
Litter, spills, fuels, chemicals and human waste in and around the project area.	Construction/Closure Phase	Environmental Officer & Health and Safety Officer	Presence of Waste	Daily
A minimum of one toilet must be provided per 10 persons. Portable toilets must be pumped dry to ensure the system does not degrade over time and spill into the surrounding area.	Life of operation	Environmental Officer & Health and Safety Officer	Number of toilets per staff member. Waste levels	Daily
The Contractor should supply sealable and properly marked domestic waste collection bins and all solid waste collected shall be disposed of at a licensed disposal facility	Life of operation	Environmental Officer & Health and Safety Officer	Availability of bins and the collection of the waste.	Ongoing
Where a registered disposal facility is not available close to the project area, the Contractor shall provide a method statement with regard to waste management. Under no circumstances may domestic waste be burned on site	Life of operation	Environmental Officer, Contractor & Health and Safety Officer	Collection/handling of the waste.	Ongoing





Refuse bins will be emptied and secured Temporary storage of domestic waste shall be in covered waste skips. Maximum domestic waste storage period will be 10 days.	Life of operation	Environmental Officer, Contractor & Health and Safety Officer	Management of bins and collection of waste	Ongoing, every 10 days
	Management out	come: Erosion		
Impact Management Actions	Implementation		Monitoring	
Impact Management Actions	Phase	Responsible Party	Aspect	Frequency
 Speed limits must be put in place to reduce erosion. Reducing the dust generated by the listed activities above, especially the earth moving machinery, through wetting the soil surface and putting up signs to enforce speed limit as well as speed bumps built to force slow speeds; Signs must be put up to enforce this. 	Life of operation	Project manager, Environmental Officer	Water Runoff from road surfaces	Ongoing
Where possible, existing access routes and walking paths must be made use of.	Life of operation	Project manager, Environmental Officer	Routes used within the area	Ongoing
Areas that are denuded during construction need to be re-vegetated with indigenous vegetation to prevent erosion during flood events and strong winds.	Life of operation	Project manager, Environmental Officer	Re-establishment of indigenous vegetation	Progressively





5 Conclusion

5.1 Terrestrial Assessment

The project area was identified by the environmental screening tool as possessing a 'Very High' sensitivity within a terrestrial biodiversity context, due to the area classified as an Ecological Support Area. Three fauna species of conservation were identified as having a high or moderate likelihood of occurrence. They are the Giant Bullfrog (High likelihood), South African Hedgehog (Moderate), and Black-footed Cat (Moderate). From a floral perspective a nationally protected tree *Vachellia erioloba* is expected to occur in the project area. The development of solar plants, powerlines and substations (8 planned developments in the close vicinity) can lead to the loss of endemic species and threatened species, loss of habitat and vegetation types. This will be investigated further during the impact phase of the project.

5.2 Freshwater Assessment

The project area was assigned by the environmental screening tool as possessing a 'Very High' sensitivity rating due to the proximity of aquatic Critical Biodiversity Areas, a groundwater Strategic Water Source Area and with wetlands in the area. The project area overlands an Ecological Support Area. No water resources were identified within the project area, nor within the regulation area. Due to the absence of water resources within the 500 m regulation area, Water Use Authorisation (GN 509) is likely to be required for the project. This will be investigated further during the impact phase of the project.



6 References

ADU (Animal Demography Unit). (2017). Virtual Museum.

Alexander, G. & Marais, J. (2007). A guide to the Reptiles of Southern Africa. Struik, Cape Town.

AmphibianMap. (2017). The Southern African Frog Atlas Project (SAFAP, now FrogMAP). <u>http://vmus.adu.org.za</u>

Bates, M.F., Branch, W.R., Bauer, A.M., Burger, M., Marais, J., Alexander, G.J & de Villiers, M.S. (Eds). (2014). Atlas and Red List of Reptiles of South Africa, Lesotho and Swaziland. Suricata 1. South African Biodiversity Institute, Pretoria.

Barbour, M.T., Gerritsen, J. & White, J.S. 1996. Development of a stream condition index (SCI) for Florida. Prepared for Florida Department of Environmental Protection: Tallahassee, Florida.

BODATSA-POSA. (2016). Plants of South Africa - an online checklist. POSA ver. 3.0. <u>http://newposa.sanbi.org/</u>.

Department of Water and Sanitation (DWS). 2014. A Desktop Assessment of the Present Ecological State, Ecological Importance and Ecological Sensitivity per Sub Quaternary Reaches for Secondary Catchments in South Africa. Draft. Compiled by RQS-RDM. Accessed 8th of February 2022.

Driver, A., Nel, J.L., Snaddon, K., Murray, K., Roux, D.J., Hill, L., Swartz, E.R., Manuel, J. & Funke, N. (2011). Implementation Manual for Freshwater Ecosystem Priority Areas. Report to the Water Research Commission, Pretoria.

Du Preez, L. & Carruthers, V. (2009) A Complete Guide to the Frogs of Southern Africa. Struik Nature, Cape Town.

EWT. (2016). Mammal Red List 2016. www.ewt.org.za

Goff, F., Dawson, G., & Rochow, J. (1982). Site examination for threatened and endangered plant species. *Environmental Management, 6*(4), 307-316.

International Union for Conservation of Nature (IUCN) 2017/2022. The IUCN Red List of Threatened Species. Version 2021-3. https://www.iucnredlist.org. Accessed 10 January 2022

Le Maitre, D.C., Seyler, H., Holland, M., Smith-Adao, L.B., Nel, J.L., Maherry, A. & Witthüser. K. 2018. Identification, Delineation and Importance of the Strategic Water Source Areas of South Africa, Lesotho and Swaziland for Surface Water and Groundwater. WRC Report No TT 754/1/18, Water Research Commission, Pretoria.

MammalMap. (2017). http://mammalmap.adu.org.za/

Mucina, L. & Rutherford, M.C. (Eds.). 2006. The vegetation of South Africa, Lesotho and Swaziland. Strelizia 19. South African National Biodiversity Institute, Pretoria, South African.

Mucina, L., Rutherford, M.C. & Powrie, L.W. (Eds.). 2007. Vegetation map of South Africa, Lesotho and Swaziland. 1:1 000 000 scale sheet maps. 2nd ed. South African National Biodiversity Institute, Pretoria.



National Water Act (NWA). 2016. Act 36 of 1998. New Nine (9) Water Management Areas of South Africa. National Gazettes, No. 40279 of 16 September 2016

NBA (2018). National Biodiversity Assessment spatial data. <u>http://bgis.sanbi.org/</u>

Nel, J. L., Driver, A., Strydom, W. F., Maherry, A. M., Petersen, C. P., Hill, L., Roux, D. J., Nienaber, S., van Deventer, H., Swartz, E. R. & Smith-Adao, L. B. (2011). Atlas of Freshwater Ecosystem Priority Areas in South Africa: Maps to support sustainable development of water resources, WRC Report No. TT 500/11. Water Research Commission, Pretoria.

Nel JL, Murray KM, Maherry AM, Petersen CP, Roux DJ, Driver A, Hill L, Van Deventer H, Funke N, Swartz ER, Smith-Adao LB, Mbona N, Downsborough L and Nienaber S. 2011. Technical Report for the National Freshwater Ecosystem Priority Areas project. WRC Report No. K5/1801.

NPAES. (2018). National Protected Areas Expansion Strategy. www.environment.gov.za

Raimonde, D. (2009). Red list of South African Plants. SANBI, Pretoria.

READ (North-West Department of Rural, Environment, and Agricultural Development) (2015). North West Biodiversity Sector Plan. <u>http://bgis.sanbi.org/</u>.

SAPAD (South Africa Protected Areas Database) and SACAD (South Africa Conservation Areas Database) (2021). http://egis.environment.gov.za

SANBI. (2017). Technical guidelines for CBA Maps: Guidelines for developing a map of Critical Biodiversity Areas & Ecological Support Areas using systematic biodiversity planning. Driver, A., Holness, S. & Daniels, F. (Eds). 1st Edition. South African National Biodiversity Institute, Pretoria.

Skelton, P.H. (2001). A complete guide to the freshwater fishes of southern Africa. Struik Publishers, South Africa.

Skowno, A.L., Raimondo, D.C., Poole, C.J., Fizzotti, B. & Slingsby, J.A. (eds.). 2019. South African National Biodiversity Assessment 2018 Technical Report Volume 1: Terrestrial Realm. South African National Biodiversity Institute, Pretoria.

Van Deventer H, Smith-Adao L, Collins NB, Grenfell M, Grundling A, Grundling P-L, Impson D, Job N, Lötter M, Ollis D, Petersen C, Scherman P, Sieben E, Snaddon K, Tererai F. and Van der Colff D. 2019. *South African National Biodiversity Assessment 2018: Technical Report.* Volume 2b: Inland Aquatic (Freshwater) Realm. CSIR report number CSIR/NRE/ECOS/IR/2019/0004/A. South African National Biodiversity Institute, Pretoria. <u>http://hdl.handle.net/20.500.12143/6230</u>.





7 Appendix A: Terrestrial methods to be utilised

7.1 Desktop Flora Assessment

The Vegetation of South Africa, Lesotho and Swaziland (Mucina & Rutherford, 2006) and SANBI (2019) will be used to identify the vegetation type that will occur under natural or preanthropogenically altered conditions. Furthermore, the Plants of Southern Africa (POSA) database will be accessed to compile a list of expected flora species within the project area. The Red List of South African Plants (Raimondo *et al.*, 2009; SANBI, 2020) will be utilized to provide the most current national conservation status of flora species.

7.2 Desktop Faunal Assessment

The faunal desktop assessment will comprise of the following, compiling an expected:

- Amphibian list, generated from the IUCN spatial dataset (2017) and AmphibianMap database (Fitzpatrick Institute of African Ornithology, 2021a), using the quarter degree square;
- Reptile list, generated from the IUCN spatial dataset (2017) and ReptileMap database (Fitzpatrick Institute of African Ornithology, 2021b), using the quarter degree square; and
- Mammal list from the IUCN spatial dataset (2017).

7.3 Flora Survey

The fieldwork and sample sites will be placed within targeted areas (i.e., target sites) perceived as ecologically sensitive based on the preliminary interpretation of satellite imagery (Google Corporation) and GIS analysis (which included the latest applicable biodiversity datasets) available prior to the fieldwork. The focus of the fieldwork will therefore be to maximise coverage and navigate to each target site in the field, to perform a rapid vegetation and ecological assessment at each sample site. Emphasis will be placed on sensitive habitats, especially those overlapping with the project area.

Homogenous vegetation units will be subjectively identified using satellite imagery and existing land cover maps. The floristic diversity and search for flora SCC will be conducted through timed meanders within representative habitat units delineated during the scoping fieldwork. Emphasis will be placed mostly on sensitive habitats overlapping with the project areas.

The timed random meander method is highly efficient for conducting floristic analysis, specifically in detecting flora SCC and maximising floristic coverage. In addition, the method is time and cost effective and highly suited for compiling flora species lists and therefore gives a rapid indication of flora diversity. The timed meander search was performed based on the original technique described by Goff *et al.* (1982). Suitable habitat for SCC will be identified according to Raimondo *et al.* (2009) and targeted as part of the timed meanders.

At each sample site notes will be made regarding current impacts (e.g., livestock grazing, erosion etc.), subjective recording of dominant vegetation species and any sensitive features



(e.g., wetlands, outcrops etc.). In addition, opportunistic observations will be made while navigating through the project area.

7.4 Fauna Survey

The faunal assessment within this report pertains to herpetofauna (amphibians and reptiles), and mammals. The faunal field survey will be comprised of the following techniques:

- *Visual and auditory searches* This typically comprised of meandering and using binoculars to view species from a distance without them being disturbed; and listening to species calls;
- Active hand-searches are used for species that shelter in or under particular microhabitats (typically rocks, exfoliating rock outcrops, fallen trees, leaf litter, bark etc.); and
- Utilization of local knowledge.

Relevant field guides and texts that will be consulted for identification purposes include the following:

- Field Guide to Snakes and other Reptiles of Southern Africa (Branch, 1998);
- A Complete Guide to the Snakes of Southern Africa (Marais, 2004);
- Atlas and Red List of the Reptiles of South Africa, Lesotho and Swaziland (Bates *et al*, 2014);
- A Complete Guide to the Frogs of Southern Africa (du Preez and Carruthers, 2009);
- Smithers' Mammals of Southern Africa (Apps, 2000);
- A Field Guide to the Tracks and Signs of Southern and East African Wildlife (Stuart and Stuart, 2000).

7.5 Terrestrial Site Ecological Importance

The different habitat types within the project area will be delineated and identified based on observations during the field assessment, and available satellite imagery. These habitat types will be assigned Ecological Importance (EI) categories based on their ecological integrity, conservation value, the presence of species of conservation concern and their ecosystem processes.

Site Ecological Importance (SEI) is a function of the Biodiversity Importance (BI) of the receptor (e.g., SCC, the vegetation/fauna community or habitat type present on the site) and Receptor Resilience (RR) (its resilience to impacts) as follows.

BI is a function of Conservation Importance (CI) and the Functional Integrity (FI) of the receptor as follows. The criteria for the CI and FI ratings are provided in Table 7-1 and Table 7-2, respectively.



Freshwater and Terrestrial Scoping Report

Houthaalboomen North PV Cluster

summary of conservation importance (ci) citteria
Fulfilling Criteria
Confirmed or highly likely occurrence of Critically Endangered (CR), Endangered (EN), Vulnerable (VU) or Extremely Rare or CR species that have a global extent of occurrence (EOO) of < 10 km ² .
Any area of natural habitat of a CR ecosystem type or large area (> 0.1% of the total ecosystem type extent) of natural habitat of an EN ecosystem type.
Globally significant populations of congregatory species (> 10% of global population).
Confirmed or highly likely occurrence of CR, EN, VU species that have a global EOO of > 10 km ² . IUCN threatened species (CR, EN, VU) must be listed under any criterion other than A.
If listed as threatened only under Criterion A, include if there are less than 10 locations or < 10 000 mature individuals remaining.
Small area (> 0.01% but < 0.1% of the total ecosystem type extent) of natural habitat of EN ecosystem type or large area (> 0.1%) of natural habitat of VU ecosystem type.
Presence of Rare species.
Globally significant populations of congregatory species (> 1% but < 10% of global population).
Confirmed or highly likely occurrence of populations of Near Threatened (NT) species, threatened species (CR, EN, VU) listed under Criterion A only and which have more than 10 locations or more than 10 000 mature individuals.
Any area of natural habitat of threatened ecosystem type with status of VU.
Presence of range-restricted species.
> 50% of receptor contains natural habitat with potential to support SCC.
No confirmed or highly likely populations of SCC.
No confirmed or highly likely populations of range-restricted species.
< 50% of receptor contains natural habitat with limited potential to support SCC.
No confirmed and highly unlikely populations of SCC.
No confirmed and highly unlikely populations of range-restricted species.
No natural habitat remaining.

ne

BIODIVERSIT

Table 7-1 Summary of Conservation Importance (CI) criteria

 Table 7-2
 Summary of Functional Integrity (FI) criteria

Functional Integrity	Fulfilling Criteria
	Very large (> 100 ha) intact area for any conservation status of ecosystem type or > 5 ha for CR ecosystem types.
Very High	High habitat connectivity serving as functional ecological corridors, limited road network between intact habitat patches.
	No or minimal current negative ecological impacts, with no signs of major past disturbance.
High	Large (> 20 ha but < 100 ha) intact area for any conservation status of ecosystem type or > 10 ha for EN ecosystem types.
	Good habitat connectivity, with potentially functional ecological corridors and a regularly used road network between intact habitat patches.



BIODIVERSITY

Houthaalboomen	North	PV	Cluster
----------------	-------	----	---------

	Only minor current negative ecological impacts, with no signs of major past disturbance and good rehabilitation potential.
Medium	Medium (> 5 ha but < 20 ha) semi-intact area for any conservation status of ecosystem type or > 20 ha for VU ecosystem types.
	Only narrow corridors of good habitat connectivity or larger areas of poor habitat connectivity and a busy used road network between intact habitat patches.
	Mostly minor current negative ecological impacts, with some major impacts and a few signs of minor past disturbance. Moderate rehabilitation potential.
Low	Small (> 1 ha but < 5 ha) area.
	Almost no habitat connectivity but migrations still possible across some modified or degraded natural habitat and a very busy used road network surrounds the area.
	Low rehabilitation potential.
	Several minor and major current negative ecological impacts.
Very Low	Very small (< 1 ha) area.
	No habitat connectivity except for flying species or flora with wind-dispersed seeds.
	Several major current negative ecological impacts.

BI can be derived from a simple matrix of CI and FI as provided in Table 7-3.

Table 7-3Matrix used to derive Biodiversity Importance (BI) from Functional Integrity (FI)
and Conservation Importance (CI)

Biodiversity Importance (BI)		Conservation Importance (CI)				
		Very high	High	Medium	Low	Very low
(FI)	Very high	Very high	Very high	High	Medium	Low
grity	High	Very high	High	Medium	Medium	Low
al Inte	Medium	High	Medium	Medium	Low	Very low
tions	Low	Medium	Medium	Low	Low	Very low
Func	Very low	Medium	Low	Very low	Very low	Very low

The fulfilling criteria to evaluate RR are based on the estimated recovery time required to restore an appreciable portion of functionality to the receptor, as summarised in Table 7-4.

 Table 7-4
 Summary of Resource Resilience (RR) criteria

Resilience	Fulfilling Criteria
Very High	Habitat that can recover rapidly (~ less than 5 years) to restore > 75% of the original species composition and functionality of the receptor functionality, or species that have a very high likelihood of: (i) remaining at a site even when a disturbance or impact is occurring, or (ii) returning to a site once the disturbance or impact has been removed.
High	Habitat that can recover relatively quickly (~ 5–10 years) to restore > 75% of the original species composition and functionality of the receptor functionality, or species that have a high likelihood of: (i) remaining at a site even when a disturbance or impact is occurring, or (ii) returning to a site once the disturbance or impact has been removed.
Medium	Will recover slowly (~ more than 10 years) to restore > 75% of the original species composition and functionality of the receptor functionality, or species that have a moderate likelihood of: (i) remaining at a site even when a disturbance or impact is occurring, or (ii) returning to a site once the disturbance or impact has been removed.
Low	Habitat that is unlikely to be able to recover fully after a relatively long period: > 15 years required to restore \sim less than 50% of the original species composition and functionality of the receptor functionality, or species that

www.thebiodiversitycompany.com





have a low likelihood of: (i) remaining at a site even when a disturbance or impact is occurring, or (ii) returning to a site once the disturbance or impact has been removed.

	Habitat that is unable to recover from major impacts, or species that are unlikely to: (i) remain at a site even
Very Low	when a disturbance or impact is occurring, or (ii) return to a site once the disturbance or impact has been
	removed.

Subsequent to the determination of the BI and RR, the SEI can be ascertained using the matrix as provided in Table 7-5.

Table 7-5Matrix used to derive Site Ecological Importance from Receptor Resilience (RR)
and Biodiversity Importance (BI)

Site Ecological Importance		Biodiversity Importance (BI)				
		Very high	High	Medium	Low	Very low
	Very Low	Very high	Very high	High	Medium	Low
ence	Low	Very high	Very high	High	Medium	Very low
Resill	Medium	Very high	High	Medium	Low	Very low
ptor	High	High	Medium	Low	Very low	Very low
Rece (RR)	Very High	Medium	Low	Very low	Very low	Very low

Interpretation of the SEI in the context of the project is provided in Table 7-6.

Table 7-6Guidelines for interpreting Site Ecological Importance in the context of the
development activities

Site Ecological Importance	Interpretation in relation to development activities
Very High	Avoidance mitigation – no destructive development activities should be considered. Offset mitigation not acceptable/not possible (i.e., last remaining populations of species, last remaining good condition patches of ecosystems/unique species assemblages). Destructive impacts for species/ecosystems where persistence target remains.
High	Avoidance mitigation wherever possible. Minimisation mitigation – changes to project infrastructure design to limit the amount of habitat impacted, limited development activities of low impact acceptable. Offset mitigation may be required for high impact activities.
Medium	Minimisation and restoration mitigation – development activities of medium impact acceptable followed by appropriate restoration activities.
Low	Minimisation and restoration mitigation – development activities of medium to high impact acceptable followed by appropriate restoration activities.
Very Low	Minimisation mitigation – development activities of medium to high impact acceptable and restoration activities may not be required.

The SEI evaluated for each taxon can be combined into a single multi-taxon evaluation of SEI for the assessment area. Either a combination of the maximum SEI for each receptor should be applied, or the SEI may be evaluated only once per receptor but for all necessary taxa simultaneously. For the latter, justification of the SEI for each receptor is based on the criteria that conforms to the highest CI and FI, and the lowest RR across all taxa.





8 Appendix B: Specialist Declaration of Independence

I, Andrew Husted, declare that:

- I act as the independent specialist in this application;
- I will perform the work relating to the application in an objective manner, even if this results in views and findings that are not favourable to the applicant;
- I declare that there are no circumstances that may compromise my objectivity in performing such work;
- I have expertise in conducting the specialist report relevant to this application, including knowledge of the Act, regulations and any guidelines that have relevance to the proposed activity;
- I will comply with the Act, regulations, and all other applicable legislation;
- I have no, and will not engage in, conflicting interests in the undertaking of the activity;
- I undertake to disclose to the applicant and the competent authority all material information in my possession that reasonably has or may have the potential of influencing any decision to be taken with respect to the application by the competent authority; and the objectivity of any report, plan, or document to be prepared by myself for submission to the competent authority;
- All the particulars furnished by me in this form are true and correct; and
- I realise that a false declaration is an offence in terms of Regulation 71 and is punishable in terms of Section 24F of the Act.

Hext

Andrew Husted

Ecologist

The Biodiversity Company

February 2022





I, Lindi Steyn, declare that:

- I act as the independent specialist in this application;
- I will perform the work relating to the application in an objective manner, even if this results in views and findings that are not favourable to the applicant;
- I declare that there are no circumstances that may compromise my objectivity in performing such work;
- I have expertise in conducting the specialist report relevant to this application, including knowledge of the Act, regulations and any guidelines that have relevance to the proposed activity;
- I will comply with the Act, regulations, and all other applicable legislation;
- I have no, and will not engage in, conflicting interests in the undertaking of the activity;
- I undertake to disclose to the applicant and the competent authority all material information in my possession that reasonably has or may have the potential of influencing any decision to be taken with respect to the application by the competent authority; and the objectivity of any report, plan, or document to be prepared by myself for submission to the competent authority;
- All the particulars furnished by me in this form are true and correct; and
- I realise that a false declaration is an offence in terms of Regulation 71 and is punishable in terms of Section 24F of the Act.

Lindi Steyn Biodiversity Specialist The Biodiversity Company February 2022

I, Khethokuhle Hlatshwayo, declare that:

• I act as the independent specialist in this application;



- I will perform the work relating to the application in an objective manner, even if this results in views and findings that are not favourable to the applicant;
- I declare that there are no circumstances that may compromise my objectivity in performing such work;
- I have expertise in conducting the specialist report relevant to this application, including knowledge of the Act, regulations and any guidelines that have relevance to the proposed activity;
- I will comply with the Act, regulations, and all other applicable legislation;
- I have no, and will not engage in, conflicting interests in the undertaking of the activity;
- I undertake to disclose to the applicant and the competent authority all material information in my possession that reasonably has or may have the potential of influencing any decision to be taken with respect to the application by the competent authority; and the objectivity of any report, plan, or document to be prepared by myself for submission to the competent authority;
- All the particulars furnished by me in this form are true and correct; and
- I realise that a false declaration is an offence in terms of Regulation 71 and is punishable in terms of Section 24F of the Act.

Khasytte

Khethokuhle Hlatshwayo Aquatic Ecologist The Biodiversity Company February 2022

